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A total of 1,830 specimens (75.7% respiratory and 24.3% nonrespiratory) were cultured in parallel with the MB/BacT and BACTEC 460 TB systems and on Lowenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium. Mycobacteria were identified from 173 (6.5%) specimens. The most common species recovered were Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (65.9%), Mycobacterium avium complex (22.5%), and Mycobacterium chelonae (9.2%). The recovery rates by individual systems were 96.5, 99.4, and 95.9% for MB/BacT, BACTEC 460 TB, and LJ medium, respectively, for all mycobacteria; the recovery rates were 99.1, 100, and 98.2%, respectively, for M. tuberculosis complex alone. The difference among the recovery rates for all mycobacteria and those for individual species was not significant. The BACTEC 460 TB system detected M. tuberculosis isolates more rapidly than the MB/BacT system (8 versus 11.8 days for smear-positive specimens [P < 0.01] and 18 versus 21 days for smear-negative specimens [P < 0.05]), whereas the MB/BacT system more rapidly detected the nontuberculous mycobacteria (17.1 versus 12.7 days [P < 0.01]). These results indicate that the nonradiometric MB/BacT system is a rapid, sensitive, and efficient method for the recovery of M. tuberculosis and nontuberculous mycobacteria from both pulmonary and extrapulmonary clinical specimens.

The continuing global threat of tuberculosis has led to an urgent need to design more effective diagnostic procedures and develop improved antituberculosis therapies (2, 3, 19). Despite the introduction of DNA techniques which have provided a new approach to the rapid diagnosis of diseases caused by mycobacteria, the definitive diagnosis of tuberculosis continues to depend on cultures of the microorganisms (3, 17). Recently, turnaround times not exceeding 21 days for the isolation and identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex were recommended (1, 13, 14).

A significant advance in culturing methods was obtained with the introduction of a rapid radiometric mycobacterial detection system (BACTEC 460 TB; Becton Dickinson Diagnostic Instruments Systems, Sparks, Md.). The use of this method has reduced the average detection time of both smear-positive and -negative samples by nearly 50% (5, 6, 11). The BACTEC 460 TB system has also been adapted to differentiate M. tuberculosis from other mycobacteria, as well as to perform antimicrobial susceptibility testing, particularly of M. tuberculosis.

Recently, several new growth-based strategies have been developed for the rapid detection of mycobacteria which circumvent the main disadvantage of the radiometric system, which is the disposal of radioactive wastes. Among these are the Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT) 960 and BACTEC 9000 MB (both marketed by Becton Dickinson), which use a fluorescence-based detection system (8, 9, 10, 16); the ESP culture system II (AccuMed, Chicago, Ill.), based on the detection of pressure changes by gas production or consumption due to microbial growth (15, 18); and the MB/BacT system (Organon Teknika, Turnhout, Belgium), which relies on a colorimetric CO2 detection device to indicate mycobacterial growth in a closed system (12). All are fully automated technologies which provide the almost continuous monitoring of bacterial growth. This avoids the cumbersome handling of vials during incubation, which is an additional drawback of the radiometric system.

The performance parameters (sensitivity and detection time) of the nonradiometric systems in comparison with those of BACTEC 460 TB and traditional culture systems have been evaluated in several recently published studies (8–12, 15, 16, 18). The main features highlighted by these studies are (i) the detection time of the new methods compared to that of traditional cultures on solid media; (ii) the lower sensitivity and detection time of the new methods when compared to those of the radiometric method, which, however, remain within clinically acceptable limits; (iii) the advantages of nonradioactive waste; and (iv) the noninvasive reading of growth. However, before considering the new automated methods as a valid alternative to the radiometric system for the culturing of mycobacteria in liquid media, additional, comparative studies need to be performed.

In this study we evaluate the performance of the MB/BacT system as a means of detecting mycobacterial growth in comparison with the BACTEC 460 TB system and a conventional solid medium, with a broad range of specimens of both respiratory and nonrespiratory origins. (These results were partially presented at the 8th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology in Lausanne, Switzerland, 1997, and at the Xth International Congress of Infectious Diseases in Boston, Mass., 1998.)

A total of 1,830 specimens submitted for detection of mycobacteria were collected from 689 patients (2.6 cultures/patient) and included sputum (846 specimens), bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (540 specimens), urine (58 specimens), blood (174 specimens), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (89 specimens), and 123 miscellaneous samples. Specimens were processed according to standard methods (4, 7). Blood specimens were collected in isolator tubes (Wampole Isolator; Oxoid, Milan, Italy). The medium used in the MB/BacT system was a modified Middlebrook 7H9 broth supplemented with growth factors and an
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antimicrobial mixture, MAS, consisting of amphotericin B (0.018% [wt/vol]), azlocillin (0.0034% [wt/vol]), nalidixic acid (0.04% [wt/vol]), polymyxin B (10,000 U), and trimethoprim (0.0105% [wt/vol]). After processing, 0.5 ml of each specimen was inoculated into one MB/BacT bottle, which was placed in the MB/BacT instrument for up to 8 weeks at 37°C. Another 0.5 ml aliquot of the sample was inoculated into one BACTEC 460 TB bottle which contained 4 ml of modified Middlebrook 7H9 broth, casein hydrolysate, bovine serum albumin, catalase, [14C]palmitic acid, and an antimicrobial mixture, PANTA (polymyxin B [2,000 U/ml], amphotericin B [200 μg/ml], nalidixic acid [800 μg/ml], trimethoprim [200 μg/ml], azlocillin [200 μg/ml]). The BACTEC 460 TB bottles were monitored by the instrument every 2 days during the first week and weekly thereafter for the next 7 weeks. Finally, a tube containing a conventional medium (Lowenstein-Jensen [LJ] medium; Biotest, Heidelberg, Germany) was inoculated with 0.2 ml of the same treated specimen, incubated at 37°C, and inspected weekly for 8 weeks. Growth on solid medium was detected by the visual observation of colonies, while in the radiometric BACTEC 460 TB medium, a growth index (GI) of 10 or more was considered positive. Growth in the MB/BacT bottles was detected through the CO2 that is released during metabolic processes. The CO2 is released during metabolic processes and can be detected through the instrument. The average number of days and the range of times required for the detection of mycobacteria by each culturing system are summarized in Table 3. Mycobacterial growth was detectable in liquid media after as little as 2 days of incubation, whereas in LJ medium detection required a minimum of 7 days. The MB/BacT system and LJ medium detected 99.6, 99.8, and 99.5%, respectively, and the negative predictive values of MB/BacT, BACTEC 460 TB, and LJ medium were 99.6, 99.8, and 99.5%, respectively.

The average number of days and the range of times required for the detection of mycobacteria by each culturing system are summarized in Table 3. Mycobacterial growth was detectable in liquid media after as little as 2 days of incubation, whereas in LJ medium detection required a minimum of 7 days. The mean times to detection for all isolates were 11.7, 11.2, and 26.8 days by the MB/BacT system, the BACTEC 460 TB system, and LJ medium, respectively. M. tuberculosis complex strains were detected from smear-positive specimens after 11.8 and 8.0 days, when using the MB/BacT and BACTEC 460 TB systems, respectively (BACTEC 460 TB versus MB/BacT, P <

### TABLE 1. Rates of recovery of mycobacteria from clinical specimens with MB/BacT, BACTEC 460 TB, and LJ medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate (no. of specimens)</th>
<th>MB/BacT</th>
<th>BACTEC 460 TB</th>
<th>LJ medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All mycobacteria (173)</td>
<td>167 (96.5)</td>
<td>172 (99.4)</td>
<td>166 (95.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. tuberculosis complex (114)</td>
<td>113 (99.1)</td>
<td>114 (100)</td>
<td>112 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All NTM (59)</td>
<td>54 (91.5)</td>
<td>58 (98.3)</td>
<td>54 (91.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2. Detection of mycobacteria from clinical specimens according to initial smear results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate (no. of specimens)</th>
<th>MB/BacT</th>
<th>BACTEC 460 TB</th>
<th>LJ medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All smear-positive specimens (107)</td>
<td>106 (99)</td>
<td>107 (100)</td>
<td>107 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All smear-negative specimens (66)</td>
<td>63 (95.4)</td>
<td>65 (98.4)</td>
<td>59 (89.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-positive M. tuberculosis (96)</td>
<td>95 (98.9)</td>
<td>96 (100)</td>
<td>95 (98.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-negative M. tuberculosis (18)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
<td>16 (88.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-positive NTM (11)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-negative NTM (48)</td>
<td>43 (89.5)</td>
<td>47 (97.9)</td>
<td>43 (89.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0.01), and after 28.5 days when using LJ medium (MB/BacT versus LJ medium, \( P < 0.001 \)). With smear-negative specimens, the values were 21, 18, and 36.2 days, respectively (BACTEC 460 TB versus MB/BacT and MB/BacT versus LJ medium, \( P < 0.05 \) and \( P < 0.001 \), respectively). The BACTEC 460 TB system recovered NTM on average after 17.1 days, the MB/BacT system recovered NTM after 12.7 days, and LJ medium recovered NTM after 29.3 days (MB/BacT versus BACTEC 460 TB and LJ medium, \( P < 0.01 \) and \( P < 0.001 \), respectively). It should be noted that the mean time to detection of NTM reflected the mean time to detect MAC, which was considerably shorter with the MB/BacT system than with any of the other media.

To date, only one direct comparison of the performance of the MB/BacT system to those of the BACTEC 460 TB system and traditional culture methods has been published (12). The study reported \( M. tuberculosis \) recovery rates of 89% with MB/BacT and 93% with BACTEC 460 TB and mean detection times of 17.5 and 14.3 days, respectively. The \( M. tuberculosis \) recovery rates found in our study were higher for both systems (99.1% for MB/BacT and 100% for BACTEC 460 TB) and the detection times were shorter for both systems (13.2 days for MB/BacT and 9.9 days for BACTEC 460 TB). The better performance obtained with both systems could be explained by the higher number of smear-positive specimens tested (84 versus 64.2% in the previous study). In fact, the time the systems take to detect growth reflects the quantity of viable mycobacteria in the test sample, which is indirectly indicated by smear positivity. In both studies, BACTEC 460 TB detected \( M. tuberculosis \) earlier than MB/BacT and the time lags were very similar (3 days on average). However, we found that MB/BacT bottles that had been identified as positive almost always contained enough organisms to be immediately confirmed by microscopy, whereas early-positive vials of BACTEC 460 TB required additional incubation for 2 to 3 days until the vials reached a GI close to 100.

Our study also compared the performances of MB/BacT and BACTEC 460 TB in detecting NTM; no such comparison was published in the previous study because of the low number of strains tested. We evaluated 59 specimens containing NTM (mostly MAC and \( M. chelonae \)) and found a better performance for BACTEC 460 TB (96.6%) than for MB/BacT (91.5%) in terms of the recovery rate but reduced detection times with MB/BacT (12.7 versus 17.1 days; \( P < 0.01 \)).

Several published studies assessed other nonradiometric methods (BACTEC 9000 MB and Difco ESP culture system II) in comparison with BACTEC 460 TB (9, 15, 16, 18). In all studies with BACTEC 9000 MB, recovery rates for the radiometric system were generally higher than those for nonradiometric methods for both \( M. tuberculosis \) and NTM. As regards mycobacterial growth detection times, the comparison of BACTEC 9000 MB and BACTEC 460 TB (9, 16) showed the performance of the nonradiometric method to be similar in some respects to the performance of MB/BacT observed in our study. Both MB/BacT and BACTEC 9000 MB systems detected on average (i) \( M. tuberculosis \) in smear-positive and -negative samples 3 days later than BACTEC 460 TB; (ii) \( M. tuberculosis \) in smear-positive samples and MAC in all samples within the same time period (11 days); and (iii) MAC and other NTM several days earlier than BACTEC 460 TB (MB/BacT, 7 and 5 days earlier, respectively; BACTEC 9000 MB, 5 and 2 days, respectively).

Two assessments of the performance of the ESP II system in direct comparison with that of BACTEC 460 TB have been published to date (15, 18). ESP II performance was better than that of the radiometric method in one study, while the two systems performed similarly in the other. The difference was due to the higher number of MAC isolates used in the former study (49 versus 17%); as documented by both studies MAC grew better in ESP II than in BACTEC broth. In contrast, \( M. tuberculosis \) strains were more readily detected by the BACTEC vials. However, the performance of the BACTEC 460 TB system might have been underestimated in both studies, since the authors checked the positivity of the BACTEC vial when the GI reached \( \geq 100 \) by preparing a smear, and if acid-fast bacilli were present in the smear, this was considered the time at which the specimen was positive for mycobacteria, whereas BACTEC positivity is conventionally reported when the GI is \( \geq 10 \).

The nonlabor costs associated with each mycobacterial culture system vary among laboratories based on volumes and equipment purchased from the manufacturers. In our laboratory, the cost per test of MB/BacT (15,000 lire [US $1 = ca. 1,709 lire]) is competitive with that of BACTEC 460 TB (25,000 lire).

In conclusion, the MB/BacT system is a rapid, efficient method for the detection of mycobacteria in a clinical laboratory. In combination with a solid medium it may be considered a valid and less expensive alternative to the radiometric system for the laboratory diagnosis of mycobacteriosis.
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